# I. Hinchliffe, LBNL

Remarks about SUSY at Hadron Colliders.

Remarks about models, SUGRA, GMSB, R-parity breaking

Examples of methodology – characteristic decays,  $h^0 \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  and dileptons

Masses reconstructible in some cases with NO model assumptions

R-Parity breaking: Missing Transverse energy is not essential

"Stable" slepton signals, isolated photons and other odd-balls.

**Constraining Models and parameters** 

http://www-theory.lbl.gov/~ianh/susy/fnal.ps

Atlas Physics TDR and refs therein.



### Comments about SUSY at Hadron colliders

- If SUSY is relevant to electro-weak symmetry breaking then LHC is a SUSY factory *Theorists should give up on Weak scale SUSY if LHC does not find it*
- Everything is produced at once; squarks and gluinos have largest rates.
- Production of Sparticles with only E-W couplings (e.g sleptons, Higgs) may be dominated by decays not direct production.
- Must use a consistent model for simulation; cannot discuss one sparticle in isolation.
- Strategies different from Tevatron where weak gaugino production probably dominates
- Studies shown here are not optimized;
  - large event rates are used to cut hard to get rid of standard model background.
- Dominant backgrounds are combinatorial from SUSY events themselves.



Characteristic SUSY signatures at LHC

Not all present in all models

- $\mathbb{E}_T$
- High Multiplicity of large  $p_t$  jets
- Many isolated leptons
- Copious *b* production
- Large Higgs production
- Isolated Photons
- Quasi-stable charged particles

No SUSY study has yet revealed a signal that would have been missed by a prexisting "trigger menu"



#### **Comments about Models**

Huge number of theoretical models

Most general SUSY model has > 100 parameters

Several FTE-months to study a particular case assuming that is it available in an event generator.

Work has concentrated on cases that are qualitatively different; some examples were chosen in the expectation that they would be hard.

Model determines the masses, decays and signals.

Detailed studies of 12 models; general conclusions difficult; mine are possibly too conservative?



## SUGRA Model

- Grandaddy of SUSY models
- Unification all scalar masses  $(m_0)$  at GUT scale
- Unification all gaugino masses  $(m_{1/2})$  at GUT scale
- Three more parameters  $\tan \beta = v_1/v_2$  $sign(\mu)$  (superpotential has  $\mu H_1H_2$ ) and
- Trilinear term A, important only for  $3^{rd}$  generation
- Full mass spectrum and decay table predicted
- Gluino mass strongly correlates with  $m_{1/2}$ , slepton mass with  $m_0$ .
- R parity good neutral LSP stable all events have 2 LSP's in them  $\Rightarrow$  missing  $E_T$
- Can relax unification assumption more parameters





Contours of fixed gluino and squark mass





Contours of fixed wino and slepton mass



#### 6 SUGRA cases studied in detail

In one case unification assumptions were relaxed to investigate how signals changed (New signals appeared, old ones stayed)

## 3 cases were restudied assuming that R-Parity was broken

 $\Rightarrow$  LSP decayed inside detector.

Worst case cross section is  $\sim 3~\text{pb}$ 

Point	$m_0$ (GeV)	<i>m</i> <sub>1/2</sub> (GeV)	$A_0$ (GeV)	tanβ	sgnµ	σ (pb)
1 2 3 4 5 6	400 400 200 800 100 200	400 400 100 200 300 200	0 0 0 300 0	2.0 10.0 2.0 10.0 2.1 45	+++-++	2.9 2.9 1300 28 15 99

Table I: SUGRA parameters for the six LHC points.



## **General Features**

- In general  $m_{squark} > m_{slepton}, m_{gluino} > m_{\widetilde{W}}$
- Splitting between  $m_{\tilde{e}_l}$  and  $m_{\tilde{e}_r}$
- Stop is usually lightest squark
- Lightest SUSY particle (LSP) stable if R-parity good.
- LSP must be neutral if stable
- SUSY particles produced in pairs even if R-parity broken.
- SUSY production is dominated by gluinos and squarks. Not necessarily true for Tevatron.
- Stable LSP  $\Rightarrow$  Missing  $E_T$
- Background for SUSY usually other SUSY, not Standard Model.



Table II: Masses of the superpartners, in GeV, at the six SUGRA points. Note that the first and second generation squarks and sleptons are degenerate and so are not listed separately.

Point	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\widetilde{o}$	1004	1009	298	582	767	540
$\widetilde{\gamma}_{1}^{\delta}$	325	321	96	147	232	152
$\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi}}_{2}^{\pm}$	764	537	272	315	518	307
$\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi}}_{1}^{0}$	168	168	45	80	122	81
$\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi}}_{2}^{0}$	326	321	97	148	233	152
$\widetilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}$	750	519	257	290	497	286
$\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi}}_{4}^{0}$	766	538	273	315	521	304
$\widetilde{\widetilde{u}}_L$	957	963	317	918	687	511
$\widetilde{u}_R$	925	933	313	910	664	498
$d_L$	959	966	323	921	690	517
$d_R$	921	930	314	910	662	498
$\widetilde{t_1}$	643	710	264	594	489	365
$\widetilde{t}_2$	924	933	329	805	717	517
$b_1$	854	871	278	774	633	390
$b_2$	922	930	314	903	663	480
$\widetilde{e}_L$	490	491	216	814	239	250
$e_R$	430	431	207	805	157	219
$\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{e}$	480	485 425	207	81U 707	23U 157	237 120
$\widetilde{\tau}_{2}$	430 700	425 701	200 216	797 811	230	152 250
$\widetilde{\widetilde{v}}_{\tau}$	486	483	207	806	230	218
$h^0$	111	125	68	117	104	112
$H^0$	1046	737	379	858	638	157
$\overline{A}^{0}$	1044	737	371	859	634	157
$H^{\pm}$	1046	741	378	862	638	182



## Gauge Mediated Model

- Aims to solve FCNC problem by using gauge interactions instead of Gravity to transmit SUSY breaking
- Messenger Sector consists of some particles (X) that have SM interactions and are aware of SUSY breaking.

$$M_i^2 = M^2 \pm F_A$$

Simplest X is complete SU(5) 5 or 10 to preserve GUT

- Fundamental SUSY breaking scale  $F > F_A$ , but  $\sqrt{F} \lesssim 10^{10}$  GeV or SUGRA breaking will dominate
- Gaugino masses at 1-loop

$$M_{\widetilde{g}} \sim \alpha_s N_X \Lambda$$

• Squark and Slepton masses at 2-loop

$$M_{\widetilde{e}} \sim \alpha_W \sqrt{N_X} \Lambda$$

• True LSP is a (almost) massless Gravitino Sparticles decay as in SUGRA, then "NLSP" decays to  $\tilde{G}$ lifetime model dependent NLSP does not have to be neutral



- 6 parameters  $\Lambda$ , M,  $N_5$ , tan  $\beta$ , sign $\mu$
- 10 TeV  $\lesssim \Lambda \equiv F_A/M \lesssim 400$  TeV: Scale for SUSY masses.
- $M > \Lambda$ : Messenger mass scale.
- $N_5 \ge 1$ : Number of equivalent  $5 + \overline{5}$  messenger fields.
- $1 \lesssim \tan\beta \lesssim m_t/m_b$ : Usual ratio of Higgs VEV's.
- sgn  $\mu = \pm 1$ : Usual sign of  $\mu$  parameter.
- $C_{\text{grav}} \ge 1$ : Ratio of  $M_{\widetilde{G}}$  to value from  $F_A$ , controls lifetime of NLSP.

Point	$\Lambda$ (TeV)	$M_m$ (TeV)	$N_5$	tanβ	sgn µ	$C_{grav} \geq 1$	σ (pb)
G1a G1b G2a G2b	90 90 30 30	500 500 250 250	1 1 3 3	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	++++++	$1.0 \\ 10^{3} \\ 1.0 \\ 5 \times 10^{3}$	7.6 7.6 23 23

Table III: Parameters for the four GMSB case studies in this paper.



Table IV: Masses of the superpartners, in GeV, for the cases to be studied. Note that the first and second generation squarks and sleptons are degenerate and so are not listed separately.

Sparticle	G1	G2	Sparticle	G1	G2
~					
8	747	713			
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	223	201	$\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{\pm}$	469	346
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	119	116	$\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$	224	204
$\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$	451	305	$\widetilde{\chi}_{4}^{\overline{0}}$	470	348
$\widetilde{\widetilde{u}}_L^{\mathcal{S}}$	986	672	$\widetilde{\widetilde{u}_R}$	942	649
$\widetilde{d}_L$	989	676	$\widetilde{d}_R$	939	648
$\widetilde{t}_1$	846	584	$\widetilde{t}_2$	962	684
$\widetilde{b}_1$	935	643	$\widetilde{b}_2$	945	652
$\widetilde{e}_L$	326	204	$\widetilde{e}_R$	164	103
$\widetilde{v}_e$	317	189	$\widetilde{ au}_2$	326	204
$\widetilde{\tau}_1$	163	102	$\widetilde{\nu}_{ au}$	316	189
$h^0$	110	107	$H^0$	557	360
$A^0$	555	358	$H^{\pm}$	562	367

Mass spectrum more spread out than in SUGRA m(squark)/m(slepton) bigger



LHC-SUSY/Ian Hinchliffe/Sep 99/13

## Establishing the SUSY Mass scale

Select events with at least 4 jets and Missing  $E_T$ A simple variable



SUGRA

At high  $M_{\rm eff}$  see non-SM signal (open points) emerging from SM background (solid points)



## Peak in $M_{\rm eff}$ distribution correlates well with SUSY mass scale



Use this and similar global distributions to establish that new physics exists and determine its mass scale



#### **Characteristic Decays**

Will illustrate techniques by choosing examples from each case study.

Both  $\tilde{q}$  and  $\tilde{g}$  produced; one decays to the other

Weak gauginos  $(\widetilde{\chi_i^0}, \widetilde{\chi_i^\pm})$  then produced in their decay. *e.g.*  $\widetilde{q_L} \to \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 q_L$ 

Two generic features

 $\chi_2^0 o \chi_1^0 h$  or  $\chi_2^0 o \chi_1^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$  possibly via  $\chi_2^0 o \widetilde{\ell^+} \ell^-$ 

Former tends to dominate if kinematically allowed.

Use these charateristic decays as a starting point

Many SUSY particles can then be identified



#### Decays to Higgs Bosons

If  $\chi_2^0 \rightarrow \chi_1^0 h$  exists then this final state followed by  $h \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  results in discovery of Higgs at LHC.

SUGRA Point 1,  $\sim 20\%$  of SUSY events contain  $h \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ 

Squarks and gluinos are heavy (  $\sim 1~\text{TeV})$  – small rates

**Event selection** 

- $E_T > 300 \, \text{GeV}$
- $\geq 2$  jets with  $p_T > 100\,{
  m GeV}$  and  $\geq 1$  with  $|\eta| < 2$
- No isolated leptons (suppresses  $t\bar{t}$ )
- Only 2 b-jets with  $p_{T,b} > 55$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 2$
- $\Delta R_{b\overline{b}} < 1.0$  (suppresses  $t\overline{t}$ )



## $b\overline{b}$ mass distribution



Very small standard model background (filled histogram) Dominant background is other SUSY events (dashed)



## This method works over a large region of parameter space in the SUGRA Model



Cuts vary across the plot

interesting SUSY not in this region



## Building on the Higgs

Same  $b\overline{b}$  at "SUGRA 5"

– Lower masses but smaller BR since  $\chi_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\ell^+} \ell^-$  is significant (see later)

 $\implies$  more events



 $30 \ \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ 



Select  $b\overline{b}$  in a 50 GeV window around peak, combine with each of two highest  $p_T$  jets. Plot shows lower of two  $jb\overline{b}$  masses



Kinematic end point from  $\tilde{q}_L \to q \chi_2^0 \to q \chi_1^0 h$  should be at 552 GeV 3 unknown masses ( $\tilde{q}_L, \chi_2^0$  and  $\chi_1^0$ )  $\Longrightarrow$  need more info or a model



If jets are correctly identified, there is a minimum mass for  $jb\overline{b}$  – gives another constraint Could be distorted by selection cuts. Plot shows larger of  $jb\overline{b}$  combinations



"threshold" should be at 271 GeV, distorted but visible

Similar technique used by NLC folks



## Same plot at "Point1"



"threshold" should be at 352 GeV



Squarks without Higgs 30  $fb^{-1}$ 



background small (filled hist, mainly other SUSY)  $p_t$  (jet) shape is sensitive to  $m(\tilde{q_r})$ 



## Starting with Leptons

Isolated leptons indicate presence of t, W, Z, weak gauginos or sleptons.

Key decays are  $\widetilde{\chi}_2 \to \widetilde{\ell}^+ \ell^-$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_2 \to \widetilde{\chi}_1 \ell^+ \ell^-$ 

- Former dominates if channel is open
- Decays are important when gauginos are close in mass and Higgs channel is closed
- Leptons are could be all taus (discussed below)

Very good S/B and very precise measurements

Covers region at low  $m_{1/2}$  where  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2 o \widetilde{\chi}^0_1 h$  closed



Example of  $\chi_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_R^+ \ell^- \rightarrow \chi_1 \ell^+ \ell^- -$  "Point 5"  $E_T > 300 \text{ GeV}; \ge 2 \text{ jets with } p_t > 150 \text{ GeV};$ 2 isolated opposite sign, same flavor leptons,  $p_t > 10 \text{ GeV}.$ Dilepton mass distribution.



"Point4", more information



flavor subtraction can elliminate SM background



Similar event selection

Leptons and jets – Model independent masses? Decay  $\tilde{q_L} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow q \tilde{\ell} \ell \rightarrow q \ell \ell \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  at "SUGRA 5"

**Event selection** 

- 2 isolated opposite sign leptons;  $p_t > 10 \text{ GeV}$
- $\geq$  4 jets; one has  $p_t > 100~{
  m GeV}$ , rest  $p_t > 50~{
  m GeV}$
- $E_T > max(100, 0.2M_{eff})$

Mass of  $q\ell\ell$  system has max at

$$M_{\ell\ell q}^{\max} = \left[\frac{\left(M_{\tilde{q}_L}^2 - M_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}^2\right) \left(M_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}^2 - M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2\right)}{M_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}^2}\right]^{1/2} = 552.4 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$

and min at 271 GeV



combine each of the two highest  $p_t$  jets with the lepton pair



Both are visible



#### Mass of $q\ell$ system has max at



Fit is linear smeared with Gaussian; gives end at 433 GeV (due to small jet cone used) Shows  $\ell q$  masses if  $m_{\ell \ell q} < 600 GeV$ 



5 constraints, from hq, lq,  $llq_{upper}$ ,  $llq_{lower}$ , ll masses 4 Unknowns,  $m_{\tilde{q}_L}$ ,  $m_{\tilde{e}_R}$ ,  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ ,  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}$ Should be able to determine masses without a model

Errors are 3%, 9%, 6% and 12% respectively





If sleptons are not produced in squark/gluino decay must rely on direct production

- Low rate.
- Must use jet veto to reduce background
- Hardest case is where some sleptons are produced in SUSY decays and must find the others
- Example  $\tilde{\ell}_L$  at "Point 5"; look for  $\tilde{\ell}_L \to \ell \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ Huge background from previous stuff ( $\tilde{\ell}_R$ )
  - 2 same flavor, opposite sign leptons
  - No jets with  $p_t > 40 \text{ GeV}$

Sensitivity to mass from shape of  $p_t$  distribution of lepton pair





Nominal mass

SUSY background dominates

Full Luminosity needed, masses must be below  $\sim 350~{
m GeV}$ 

Hold everything

We changed the mass of one particle and did nothing else. Is this sensible?



You must look at everything

As mass goes up new channel opens  $\widetilde{e_L} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 e \rightarrow e \mu^- \mu^+ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ 

- 4 isolated leptons,  $p_t > 10$  GeV making two flavorless pairs
- No jets with  $p_t > 40 \text{GeV}$
- $M(pair) < 108 \; {
  m GeV}$  (to pick the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$  decay)

Plot of mass of three lepton system



 $m(\tilde{e_L}) = 250 \text{ GeV}$ No signal at  $m(\tilde{e_L}) = 229 \text{ GeV}$ 

No background and a clearer structure.



LHC-SUSY/lan Hinchliffe/Sep 99/34

#### Final states with taus

Large  $\tan \beta$  implies that  $m(\tilde{\tau}) < m(\tilde{\mu})$ Taus may be the only produced leptons in gaugino decay. Leptonic tau decays are of limited use – where did lepton come from? Use Hadronic tau decays, using jet shape and multiplicity for ID and jet rejection. Full simulation study used to estimate efficiency and rejection Rely on Jet and  $E_t(miss)$  cuts to get rid of SM background Measure "visible" tau energy Event selection

- $\geq$  4 jets, one has  $p_t > 100 \text{ GeV}$ , rest  $p_t > 50 \text{ GeV}$
- No isolated leptons with  $p_t > 10 \text{ GeV}$
- $E_T > max(100, 0.2M_{eff})$

Look at mass of observed tau pairs





Can use peak position to infer end point in decay  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tau \tau \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  (61 GeV) Estimate 5% error Large tan  $\beta \Rightarrow$  light sbottom – Look for these



$$ilde{g} o b ilde{b} o b b au^{\pm} au^{\mp} \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$$

Previous sample with 2 *b*-jets having  $p_t > 25$  GeV

Lots of missing  $E_T$ : tau decays and  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ 's

- Select  $40 < m_{\tau\tau} < 60 \text{ GeV}$
- Combine with b jets
- Look at  $\tau\tau bb$  and  $\tau\tau b$ : should approximate gluino and sbottom
- use partial reconstruction technique assuming mass of  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$

Peaks are low; should be expected due to missing energy









## R-parity broken

Implies either Lepton number or Baryon number is violated and LSP decays Either  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow qqq$ , or  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow q\overline{q}\ell$  or  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\nu$ First two have no  $\mathbb{E}_T$ , last 2 have more leptons and are straightforward First case is hardest, Global S/B is worse due to less  $\mathbb{E}_T$ Example, SUGRA "Point 5" with  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow qqq$ Leptons are essential to get rid of QCD background

- $\geq$  8 jets with  $p_t$  > 50 GeV
- 2 OSSF isolated leptons.
- $S_T > 0.2$ , selects "ball like" events
- $\Sigma_{jets+leptons}E_T > 1$  TeV

Dilepton mass still shows clear structure with small background from  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2 \to \ell^+ \ell^- \widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ 





As nothing is lost, should be possible to reconstruct  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ Difficult because jet multiplicity is very high and  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  mass is usually small, so jets are soft



- $\geq$  8 jets with  $p_t$  > 17.5 GeV,  $\leq$  8 jets with  $p_t$  > 25 GeV
- 2 jets with  $p_t > 100(200)$  GeV and  $\mid \eta \mid < 2$
- 1 or 2 leptons with  $p_t > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- Sphericity cut
- combine 6 slowest jets into 2 sets of 3; require  $M(jjj)_1 M(jjj)_2 < 20 \text{ GeV}$





Can cut around peak and combine with either leptons or quarks reconstruct  $\tilde{q_R} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 (\to q q q)$  and  $\chi_2^0 \to \ell \ell \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 



Plot shows  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$  Note that tight cuts imply low event rate (analysis not optimized)



New signals in GMSB

Lightest superpartner is unstable and decays to Gravitino ( $\tilde{G}$ )

Either neutral  $\chi_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$ :  $c\tau \sim C^2 (100 \text{ GeV}/M_{\chi_1^0})^5 (\Lambda/180 \text{TeV})^2 (M_M/180 \text{TeV})^2 \text{mm}$  $\Rightarrow$  extra photons ("G1a") or similar signals to SUGRA ("G1b") depending on lifetime

Or charged

Almost always slepton:  $\tilde{e_R} \rightarrow e\tilde{G}$ 

No Missing  $E_T$  if  $c\tau$  large, events have a pair of massive stable charged particles ("G2b") Large lepton multiplicity if  $c\tau$  small ("G2a").

Discovery and measurement in these cases is trivial In case "G2b", every decay product can be measured In case "G1a"  $\widetilde{G}$  momenta can be inferred and events fully reconstructed.



GMSB case 1a: Event selection (not optimized) Decay  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma \tilde{G}$  is key Lifetime of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  is short

Find jets

$$M_{\rm eff} \equiv E_T + p_{T,1} + p_{T,2} + p_{T,3} + p_{T,4}$$

Require

- $M_{\rm eff} > 400 \,{\rm GeV};$
- $E_T > 0.1 M_{\text{eff}}$ .

Looking for

$$\widetilde{\chi}^0_2 o \widetilde{\ell}^\pm \ell^\mp o \widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \ell^\pm \ell^\mp o \widetilde{G} \gamma \ell^\pm \ell^\mp \,,$$

- Electrons and photons :  $p_T > 20 \,\text{GeV}$
- Muons :  $p_T > 5 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ .
- Require at least 2 photons and two leptons.



Dilepton mass distribution, flavor subtracted  $e^+e^- + \mu^+\mu^- - e^\pm\mu^\mp$ 



End is at

$$M_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{M_{\tilde{\ell}_R}}{M_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2}}\right)^2} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{M_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}}{M_{\tilde{\ell}_R}}\right)^2} = 105.1 \,\mathrm{GeV}.$$



## Form $\ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ mass and take smallest combination



Linear vanishing at



## Form $\ell^{\pm}\gamma$ mass also

Two structures at





and

These four measurements are sufficient to determine the masses of the particles ( $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ ,  $\tilde{\ell}_R$ , and  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ ) in this decay chain without assuming any model of SUSY breaking.

Now use this to reconstruct the decay chain and measure the  $\tilde{G}$  momenta despite the fact that there are two in each event and both are invisible!



#### Full reconstruction of SUSY events



Know masses  $\Rightarrow$  can calculate *p* assuming  $p^2 = 0$ :

$$2p_{0}k_{0} - 2\vec{p}\cdot\vec{k} = M_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}^{2}$$

$$2p_{0}l_{0} - 2\vec{p}\cdot\vec{l} = M_{\tilde{\ell}_{R}}^{2} - M_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}^{2} - 2k\cdot l$$

$$2p_{0}k_{0} - 2\vec{p}\cdot\vec{q} = M_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}}^{2} - M_{\tilde{\ell}_{R}}^{2} - 2(k+l)\cdot q$$

0C fit with  $2 \times 2$  solutions.

Event has two of these decays so require 4 leptons and 2 gammas





Calculate missing  $E_T$ 

Form a  $\chi^2$  using measured missing  $E_T$  to resolve ambiguities

$$\chi^2 = \left(\frac{I\!\!\!\!E_x - p_{1x} - p_{2x}}{\Delta I\!\!\!\!E_x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{I\!\!\!\!E_y - p_{1y} - p_{2y}}{\Delta I\!\!\!\!E_y}\right)^2.$$

use  $\Delta E_x = \Delta E_x = 0.6\sqrt{E_T} + 0.03E_T$ . Compare to generated  $\widetilde{G}$  momenta Plot shows all solutions with  $\chi^2 < 10$ 

0

0

0.2

0.4

∆p/p

0.6

0.8

 $\Delta |ec{p}| / |ec{p}| \sim 10\%$ 

$$\Delta \vec{p} = \vec{p}_{\tilde{G}} - \vec{p}_{reconst}$$

#### Squark and Gluino Masses

Use measured  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  momenta and combine with jets

- $\widetilde{q} \to \widetilde{g}q \to \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \overline{q}qq$
- Require at least 4 jets with  $p_T > 75 \,\mathrm{GeV}$



Figure shows mass of  $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ +2 jets; peak is below gluino mass (747 GeV); no correction applied for small jet cone.



## Look for correlations







Cut  $600 \,\text{GeV} < M(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 j j) < 800 \,\text{GeV}$ Project



Peak below Squark mass (940 GeV) Much easier than the SUGRA cases; masses measured directly



Measuring the fundamental scale of SUSY breaking

Lifetime of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \widetilde{G}$  is important as it measures the fundamental scale of SUSY breaking Measure lifetime of  $\chi_1^0 (\to \widetilde{G}\gamma)$  using Dalitz decay  $\chi_1^0 \to e^+e^-\gamma \widetilde{G}$ 

- Works for short lived  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$
- Statistics limited (~few-K events)
- No detailed study

Measure lifetime of  $\chi_1^0 (\to \widetilde{G}\gamma)$ : photon pointing.

- Angular resolution of photons from primary vertex  $\Delta heta \sim 60 mr/\sqrt{E}$
- Detailed study of efficiency for non-pointing photons Important for long lived  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$
- Decays are uniformly distributed in the detector
- Cross check from time delay of decay
- Failure to see photons  $\Rightarrow c \tau > 100 \ {\rm km} \ {\rm or} \ \sqrt{F} \ge 10^4 \ {\rm TeV}$



Mass measurement of quasi-stable sleptons

Sleptons are produced at the end of decay chains  $\Rightarrow$  large velocity Most of these will pass the Muon Trigger

Measure the velocity using TOF in Muon system, then infer mass

- $\bullet$  Time resolution  $\sim 65~\text{ns}$
- $\Rightarrow \Delta M/M \sim 3\%$  for M = 100 GeV





#### What precision can one expect?

Depends whether fitting individual masses or a model; ultimately it will be the latter.

Qualitative signals will rule out classes of models *e.g* 

- Stable heavy charged particle  $\Rightarrow$  not SUGRA
- No missing  $E_T \Rightarrow$  not unbroken R-Parity

Where events are fully reconstructed, masses are *measured directly* and then *masses are fitted to model* 

Other cases need a guess of the model and then a fit to it

Examples of easy and hard cases

- Warning - only naive fits have been done,

event rates are not used,

multivariate fits to distributions have not been used



Easy case– "G1a" (30  $fb^{-1}$ )

 $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 
ightarrow \widetilde{G}\gamma$  with short lifetime.

• 
$$M_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}}\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{M_{\tilde{\ell}_{R}}}{M_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}}}\right)^{2}}\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{M_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}}{M_{\tilde{\ell}_{R}}}\right)^{2}} = 105.1 \pm 0.10 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$
,  
•  $\sqrt{M_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}}^{2}-M_{\chi_{1}^{0}}^{2}} = 189.7 \pm 0.30 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ ,  
•  $\sqrt{M_{\ell_{R}}^{2}-M_{\chi_{1}^{0}}^{2}} = 112.7 \pm .15 \,\mathrm{GeV}$   
•  $\sqrt{M_{\chi_{2}^{0}}^{2}-M_{\ell_{R}}^{2}} = 152.6 \pm .30 \,\mathrm{GeV}$   
•  $m_{h^{0}} = 109.47 \pm 3 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ ,

First 4 determine masses directly and imply

- $\Lambda=90000\pm1700$  GeV,
- $M_m = 500000 \pm 170000$  GeV,
- $\tan \beta = 5.0 \pm 1.3$ ,
- $N_5 = 1 \pm 0.014$ .

Note that measured squark and gluino masses do not improve accuracy, they provide strong consistency checks.



A bit harder "G1b"

 $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\gamma$  with long lifetime; no photons visible qualitatively similar to SUGRA.

• 
$$M_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}}\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{M_{\tilde{\ell}_{R}}}{M_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}}}\right)^{2}}\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{M_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}}{M_{\tilde{\ell}_{R}}}\right)^{2}} = 105.1 \pm 0.10 \,\text{GeV},$$
  
•  $m_{\tilde{g}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}} = 523 \pm 30 \,\text{GeV}; \, m_{\tilde{q}_{R}} = 990 \pm 50 \,\text{GeV}$   
•  $m_{h^{0}} = 109.47 \pm 3 \,\text{GeV},$ 

Note squark mass is needed as first is not sensitive to  $m(\tilde{e_L})!$ 

- $\Lambda N_5 = 90000 \pm 880$  GeV,
- $\Lambda=90000\pm11500$  GeV,
- $M_m < 7 \times 10^8$  GeV (95% confidence)
- $\tan \beta = 5.0^{+2.7}_{-1.8}$

These "data" cannot be fit to SUGRA model



#### A tough case "SUGRA 2"

 $m_0$  is poorly constrained as we have no slepton signal (m > 430 GeV) All sparticles are heavy – gluinos and squarks  $\sim 1$  TeV

- $\bullet \ m_0 = 400 \pm 100 \ \mathrm{GeV}$
- $m_{1/2} = 400 \pm 8 \text{ GeV}$
- $\tan\beta = 10.\pm 2.$
- $\bullet$  A is not constrained; it's an "irrelevant" parameter
- $A_b = -1100 \pm 200 \text{ GeV}$  and  $A_t = -800 \pm 55 \text{GeV}$



Are we in a model?

General discussion impossible

Use a Model and add parameters asking how well they can be constrained.

"SUGRA Point 5" 30 fb $^{-1}$ 

- $m_0 = 100^{+4.1}_{-2.2} \text{ GeV}$
- $m_{1/2} = 300 \pm 2.7 \text{ GeV}$
- $\tan\beta = 2.\pm0.1$

Soft Higgs masses differ at GUT scale, can only constrain  $M_H < 400$  GeV; Must measure H and A (630 GeV) masses to 20 GeV in order to improve. Very hard.

Relax squark/slepton mass unification, assume different SU(5) reps have different masses:

 $m_{d_R} = m_L = m_5$ ; rest  $m_0$ above errors increase a bit and  $m_5 = 100^{+15}_{-10}$  GeV



Where might we be? A guess at what LHC will do

- Find SUSY or stop people taking about it
- Measure gluino and squark masses to a few percent
- Measure some Slepton masses
- Measure  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  mass ????
- Severely constrain the underlying model
- Best case all sparticle masses predicted with small errors
- Worse case a few masses well known, rest inferred with big errors
- Model independent limits are hard



A guess at what LHC will not do

- $\bullet$  Find sleptons with mass  $\geq \sim 300~{\rm GeV}$  unless they are present in squark/gluino decays
- Find the Heavier gauginos unless they are produced in squark/gluino decay
- Find all of the Higgs Bosons

